Statistical Analysis Report (SAR)

Incidence rates of scorpion accidents in Urban Planning Areas of Americana/SP in 1998–2018

DOCUMENT: SAR-2021-007-JB-v01

From: Felipe Figueiredo To: José Brites

2022-04-08

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 ABBREVIATIONS	2
2 CONTEXT	2
2.1 Objectives	2
2.2 Data reception and cleaning	2
3 METHODS	3
3.1 Variables	3
3.1.1 Primary and secondary outcomes	3
3.1.2 Covariates	
3.2 Statistical analyses	
4 RESULTS	
4.1 Study population and follow up	3
4.1.1 Urban Planning Areas	3
4.1.2 Population	7
4.2 Incidence of scorpion accidents	8
5 OBSERVATIONS AND LIMITATIONS	10
6 CONCLUSIONS	11
7 REFERENCES	11
8 APPENDIX	12
8.1 Exploratory data analysis	12
8.2 Modeling procedures	13
8.3 Availability	14
8.4 Analytical dataset	14

FF Consultoria em Bioestatística e Epidemiologia		Version	Үеаг	Page
CNPJ: 42.154.074/0001-22	SAR			
https://philsf-biostat.github.io/		1	2022	1 / 14

Incidence rates of scorpion accidents in Urban Planning Areas of Americana/SP in 1998–2018

Document version

Version	Alterations
01	Initial version

1 ABBREVIATIONS

- IQR: interquartile range
- CI: confidence interval
- IRR: Incidence Rate Ratio
- SD: standard deviation
- UPA: Urban planning area

2 CONTEXT

2.1 Objectives

Describe the incidence rates of scorpion accidents in ten Urban Planning Areas of Americana/SP between 1998 and 2018.

2.2 Data reception and cleaning

Dataset with number of accidents and population for each UPA and year between 1998 – 2018. In order to enhance the numerical performance of the statistical models the year was recentered with a time index starting at 1, and covering all years in the study period. All variables in the analytical set were labeled according to the raw data provided and values were labeled according to the data dictionary for the preparation of production-quality results tables and figures. After the cleaning process 6 variables were included in the analysis with 210 observations.

FF Consultoria em Bioestatística e Epidemiologia		Version	Үеаг	Page
CNPJ: 42.154.074/0001-22	SAR			
https://philsf-biostat.github.io/		1	2022	2 / 14

3 METHODS

3.1 Variables

3.1.1 Primary and secondary outcomes

Incidence rates of scorpion accidents in APUs of Americana/SP, per 10000 inhabitants.

3.1.2 Covariates

N/A

3.2 Statistical analyses

The characteristics of the UPAs will be described at the year 2018, which will be hypothesized to be representative of static characteristics. All variables collected will be described as mean (SD), median (IQR) and range or as counts and proportions (%), as appropriate. Distributions will be summarized in tables and visualized in exploratory plots. A multiple Poisson regression will be specified to explore the relations between the accident incidence rates and the UPAs between 1998 and 2018 (ten UPAs, 21 years, 210 observations). The unit of analysis for this study is the UPA, and the incidence rate of accidents will be estimated for each UPA and for each year. The population of the UPA was considered as a proxy for the exposure to accidents. This was incorporated in the model as the offset to compute the incidence rates and allowed to vary over time. Two models will be adjusted to the data. The first model does not assume an increase in incidence rates in the UPAs. The second model will account for increases in incident accidents a second model by including an interaction term between year and UPA, to allow the incidence rates to increase independently for each UPA. All analyses will be performed using the significance level of 5%. All significance hypothesis tests and confidence intervals computed will be two-tailed. This analysis was performed using statistical software R version 4.1.3.

4 **RESULTS**

4.1 Study population and follow up

4.1.1 Urban Planning Areas

The geographical characteristics of the UPAs are described in Table 1 and Figure 1. UPAs in Americana have an average of 9.3 km². The municipality of Americana/SP has a per UPA average of 0.9 km² of green areas and 367 m² of squares and leisure areas, supported by 0.02 km² of hydrography area, 16 km of rainwater network and 91 km of sewage network.

FF Consultoria em Bioestatística e Epidemiologia		Version	Үеаг	Page
CNPJ: 42.154.074/0001-22	SAR			
https://philsf-biostat.github.io/		1	2022	3 / 14

The UPAs in Americana have typically 5 recycling units and on average 40 irregular garbage disposal areas.

Table 1 Geographical characteristics of Americana/SP across ten urban planning areas(1998-2008).

Characteristic	N = 10	
Area (km²)		
Mean (SD)	9.3 (5.5)	
Median (IQR)	9.3 (5.2, 14.2)	
Range	1.7, 16.0	
Green areas (km²)		
Mean (SD)	0.87 (0.81)	
Median (IQR)	0.70 (0.18, 1.39)	
Range	0.06, 2.11	
Hydrography area (km²)		
Mean (SD)	0.023 (0.019)	
Median (IQR)	0.020 (0.008, 0.038)	
Range	0.002, 0.053	
Sewage network (km)		
Mean (SD)	91 (35)	
Median (IQR)	96 (72, 109)	
Range	32, 152	
Rainwater network (km)		
Mean (SD)	16.0 (7.5)	
Median (IQR)	15.4 (11.5, 22.8)	
Range	4.8, 24.7	
Squares and leisure areas (m²)		
Mean (SD)	367 (216)	
Median (IQR)	413 (188, 522)	
Range	56, 686	
Irregular garbage disposal areas		
Mean (SD)	40 (25)	
Median (IQR)	38 (18, 56)	
Range	10, 85	
Recycling units		
Mean (SD)	5.10 (3.67)	

FF Consultoria em Bioestatística e Epidemiologia		Version	Үеаг	Page
CNPJ: 42.154.074/0001-22	SAR			
https://philsf-biostat.github.io/		1	2022	4 / 14

Statistical Analysis Report (SAR)

Median (IQR)	4.50 (2.00, 8.50)
Range	1.00, 10.00
Burned-out areas (foci)	
Mean (SD)	55 (31)
Median (IQR)	52 (27, 78)
Range	14, 107
Presence of a cemitery, n (%)	2 (20%)

The UPAs in Americana have typically 5 recycling units and on average 40 irregular garbage disposal areas.

FF Consultoria em Bioestatística e Epidemiologia		Version	Үеаг	Page
CNPJ: 42.154.074/0001-22	SAR			
https://philsf-biostat.github.io/		1	2022	5 / 14



Statistical Analysis Report (SAR)

Figure 1 Distribution density of geographical characteristics of Americana/SP across ten urban planning areas (1998-2008); Area (km²), Burned-out areas (foci), Irregular garbage disposal (areas), Green areas (km²), Hydrography area (km²), Rainwater network (km), Recycling units, Sewage network (km), Squares and leisure areas (m²).

FF Consultoria em Bioestatística e Epidemiologia		Version	Year	Page
CNPJ: 42.154.074/0001-22	SAR			
https://philsf-biostat.github.io/		1	2022	6 / 14

4.1.2 Population

The population in all ten UPA have increased from 174426 to 237022 people during the 1998 – 2008 period. The three UPA that showed the largest population increase were UPA 4, 6 and 10 with 14689, 11639 and 10600 net growth, respectively (Figue 2). On the other hand the three UPA with the lowest population growth in the period were UPA 1, UPA 8 and UPA 9 with 802, 1790 and 1917 new persons, respectively.



Figure 2 Population trajectories in ten urban planning areas (UPA) in Americana/SP (1998-2008).

While population grew in all UPA, in most cases the trajectory did not follow a monotonic trend. In particular population at UPA 4 and 8 did not grow steadily in order to reach the to the ranks of top 3 and bottom 3 in either absolute number and net growth.

FF Consultoria em Bioestatística e Epidemiologia		Version	Үеаг	Page
CNPJ: 42.154.074/0001-22	SAR			
https://philsf-biostat.github.io/		1	2022	7 / 14

UPA 4 and 8 started with similar population sizes, but at the end of the study period had dramatic final population differences. Both did not start among the largest populations but UPA 4 had a sharp population increase while UPA 8 had a sharp decrease, at around the same period. Having started with a similar population size, the final difference between the final population at these two particular UPA could be explained by this shift.

Furthermore, UPA 2 and 9 had a sharp decrease around the same period before resuming the slower, predictable growth rate of previous years. UPA 2 had started with the second largest population of the ten UPA at 1998 and ended at the fourth largest population.

It is difficult to assess the impact of such rapid movements in population size in the accident rates. We will assess this impact in the section 4.2.

4.2 Incidence of scorpion accidents

The models used in this analysis allow for the estimation of the expected number of scorpion accidents per 10000 persons (Table 2). UPA 10 was chosen as the baseline for comparison for having the lowest absolute risk in the full model. Table 2 shows how the risk in other UPA compares to the risk in the reference UPA.

Without the assumption of incidence growth, the baseline risk of scorpion accident was 7.24 accidents per UPA (Crude estimate in Table 2). n this simpler model, while most UPA appear to have larger numbers of expected accidents, risk ratios varied between a 50% decrease (UPA 8) to an 100% increase (UPA 3), when compared to the reference UPA.

With the assumption of incidence growth (Fully adjusted in Table 2), varying by UPA across the study period, the baseline risk of scorpion accident was 0.83 accidents per UPA. For each year, on there was an average increase of 1.17 accidents and besides this, every UPA had their own independent incidence rate estimated. After controlling for this, the three UPA with the largest IRR compared to the baseline risk were UPA 4, 7 and 5, while the smallest increase were observed in UPA 2, 8 and 3. In this model, the increase in risk of accidents varied between 70% increase (UPA 2) to approximately 800% increase (UPA 4), when compared to UPA 10. UPA 2 was the only region that had less than 100% increased population risk of scorpion accidents.

FF Consultoria em Bioestatística e Epidemiologia		Version	Үеаг	Page
CNPJ: 42.154.074/0001-22	SAR			
https://philsf-biostat.github.io/		1	2022	8 / 14

Characteristic	IRR ¹	95% CI ¹	p-value	IRR ¹	95% CI ¹	p-value		
		Crude estima	te		Fully adjuste	d		
Urban Planning Area								
10	1.00	—		1.00	—			
1	1.21	0.98 to 1.48	0.070	5.14	2.87 to 9.04	<0.001		
2	1.46	1.29 to 1.65	<0.001	1.71	1.11 to 2.65	0.016		
3	2.06	1.79 to 2.37	<0.001	2.61	1.57 to 4.32	<0.001		
4	1.49	1.32 to 1.68	<0.001	9.39	6.39 to 14.0	<0.001		
5	1.87	1.65 to 2.13	<0.001	7.78	5.21 to 11.7	<0.001		
6	1.29	1.14 to 1.45	<0.001	3.94	2.66 to 5.92	<0.001		
7	1.57	1.37 to 1.79	<0.001	8.50	5.66 to 12.9	<0.001		
8	0.54	0.46 to 0.65	<0.001	2.50	1.51 to 4.10	<0.001		
9	0.90	0.76 to 1.07	0.24	2.63	1.55 to 4.41	<0.001		
¹ IRR = Incidence Rate Ratio, CI = Confidence Interval								

Table 2 Incidence rates of scorpion accidents in ten urban planning areas (UPA) in Americana/SP (1998-2008).

The comparison between the two models allow for the evaluation of the inclusion of time varying slopes in the risk estimates. All relative risk estimates are much higher in the full model, indicating some evidence for the hypothesis that different UPA followed different trajectories. This interpretation is also supported by observing that most trajectories in Figure 2 cross, indicating that an interaction between time and incidence exist across different regions. Finally if the full model is indeed a better approximation of the real incidence, it can be concluded that the simpler model overestimated the true incidence of accidents in UPA 10.

Figure 3 shows the trajectories of how the expected incidence grew associated with the population across the study period. While UPA 10 had the lowest overall incidence it has seen the third top increase in incidence rate over time, behind UPA 2 and 6.

At the end of the study period UPA2 had the largest incidence rate among the regions considered, followed closely by UPA 6. It should be noted that UPA 6 had the largest population count across the study period and, although UPA 2 showed a sharp population decrease it started the trajectory with one of the highest populations (Figure 2).

FF Consultoria em Bioestatística e Epidemiologia	Version	Үеаг	Page	
CNPJ: 42.154.074/0001-22	SAR			
https://philsf-biostat.github.io/		1	2022	9 / 14

On the other end of the risk spectrum lie UPA 1, 8 and 9. UPA 1 and 9 were among the lowest in population size, across the study period (Figure 2) and, although UPA 8 started with a median population size, compared to the other regions, it showed a sharp decrease at around the mid point.





It can be recommended that the Municipal Secretary of Health of Americana/SP and its vigilance program investigates possible reasons that would explain why UPA 2, 6 and 10 have such larger expected risk of scorpion accidents. When such information is available, a public health program focused on high risk areas can be developed to prevent these accidents.

5 OBSERVATIONS AND LIMITATIONS

Scorpion population

FF Consultoria em Bioestatística e Epidemiologia	Version	Үеаг	Page	
CNPJ: 42.154.074/0001-22	SAR			
https://philsf-biostat.github.io/		1	2022	10 / 14

One limitation of this study is that we the scorpion population size in each UPA is not known, but gathering this data poses other challenges that are often reported in the Ecology modeling literature. If scorpion population sizes were available across the study period, it could be used to control for the incidence rates measured in this ecological study. Scorpion specimens are routinely captured by the public health surveillance system, and we hypothesize that this measure could be used as a proxy for the scorpion population. We will consider updating the analysis with this metric in a future work, when more data becomes available.

Population as a proxy for exposure

It is difficult to assess the impact of such rapid movements in population size in the accident rates. If our assumption that the population is a proxy exposure for the risk of scorpion accidents, these rapid movements should be associated with the incidence risk. Other possible explanations include a threshold population size, beyond which the risk is substantially increased.

Another metric that could be used as a proxy to exposure would be population density. We will consider updating the analysis with this metric in a future work, when more data becomes available.

Ecological fallacy

While some UPA clearly show incidence rates much higher than others, this should not be interpreted that these UPA pose a higher risk of scorpion accidents than other for individuals. This is an ecological study and thus the data available are aggregate counts within each geographical region, so these results cannot be directly translated to a measure of individual risk of accident.

6 CONCLUSIONS

This analysis shows that there is some evidence that the risk of scorpion accidents in different UPA have increased over time, and incidences increased at different rates. There is also limited evidence that population size in each region might play a role in the risk of accidents, where UPA that had larger populations at the beginning of the study period tended to have a larger expected risk of accidents overall.

7 **REFERENCES**

• **SAP-2021-007-JB-v01** – Analytical Plan for Incidence rates of scorpion accidents in Urban Planning Areas of Americana/SP in 1998–2018

FF Consultoria em Bioestatística e Epidemiologia	Version	Үеаг	Page	
CNPJ: 42.154.074/0001-22	SAR			
https://philsf-biostat.github.io/		1	2022	11 / 14

8 APPENDIX

8.1 Exploratory data analysis

Alternative version of figure 1.



Figure A1 Distribution density of geographical characteristics of Americana/SP across ten urban planning areas (1998-2008); Area (km²), Burned-out areas (foci), Irregular garbage disposal (areas), Green areas (km²), Hydrography area (km²), Rainwater network (km), Recycling units, Sewage network (km), Squares and leisure areas (m²).

FF Consultoria em Bioestatística e Epidemiologia		Version	Vear	Bage
CNPJ: 42.154.074/0001-22	SAR	Version	i eai	Fage
https://philsf-biostat.github.io/		1	2022	12 / 14

8.2 Modeling procedures

The population of the UPA was considered as a proxy for the exposure to accidents. This was incorporated in the model as the offset to compute the incidence rates and allowed to vary over time. This means that the population is not considered as an explanatory variable in the regression model, but as a fixed coefficient in the incidence rates ratios. Variation in population over the years are effectively only considered for the calculation of incidence rates.

Table A1 shows an alternative display of Table 2, with all regressors included in the model.

Table A1 Alternative version o	f incide	nce rates of	scorpion a	ccider	nts in ten	urban	planning
areas (UPA) in Americana/SP (`	1998-20	008).					

Characteristic	IRR ¹	95% Cl ¹	p-value	IRR ¹	95% Cl ¹	p-value
	Crude estimate			Fully adjusted		
Urban Planning Area						
10	1.00	_		1.00	_	
1	1.21	0.98 to 1.48	0.070	5.14	2.87 to 9.04	<0.001
2	1.46	1.29 to 1.65	<0.001	1.71	1.11 to 2.65	0.016
3	2.06	1.79 to 2.37	<0.001	2.61	1.57 to 4.32	<0.001
4	1.49	1.32 to 1.68	<0.001	9.39	6.39 to 14.0	<0.001
5	1.87	1.65 to 2.13	<0.001	7.78	5.21 to 11.7	<0.001
6	1.29	1.14 to 1.45	<0.001	3.94	2.66 to 5.92	<0.001
7	1.57	1.37 to 1.79	<0.001	8.50	5.66 to 12.9	<0.001
8	0.54	0.46 to 0.65	<0.001	2.50	1.51 to 4.10	<0.001
9	0.90	0.76 to 1.07	0.24	2.63	1.55 to 4.41	<0.001
Year				1.17	1.14 to 1.19	<0.001
Urban Planning Area * Year						
1 * Year				0.91	0.88 to 0.95	<0.001
2 * Year				0.99	0.97 to 1.02	0.63
3 * Year				0.98	0.95 to 1.01	0.27
4 * Year				0.88	0.86 to 0.90	<0.001
5 * Year				0.91	0.89 to 0.93	<0.001
6 * Year				0.93	0.91 to 0.95	<0.001

FF Consultoria em Bioestatística e Epidemiologia	Version	Үеаг	Page	
CNPJ: 42.154.074/0001-22	SAR			
https://philsf-biostat.github.io/		1	2022	13 / 14

Statistical Analysis Report (SAR)

7 * Year				0.89	0.87 to 0.92	<0.001	
8 * Year				0.91	0.88 to 0.94	<0.001	
9 * Year				0.94	0.91 to 0.97	<0.001	
⁷ IRR = Incidence Rate Ratio, CI = Confidence Interval							

8.3 Availability

Both this document and the corresponding analytical plan (**SAP-2021-007-JB-v01**) can be downloaded in the following address:

https://philsf-biostat.github.io/SAR-2021-007-JB/

8.4 Analytical dataset

Table A2 shows the structure of the analytical dataset.

Table A2 Analytical dataset structure

upa	уеаг	accidents	рор	time
1				
2				
3				
210				

Due to confidentiality the data-set used in this analysis cannot be shared online in the public version of this report.

FF Consultoria em Bioestatística e Epidemiologia	Version	Үеаг	Page	
CNPJ: 42.154.074/0001-22	SAR			
https://philsf-biostat.github.io/		1	2022	14 / 14